#### Requester (see Note 1) **Technical Lead** START Work with the requester and technical team to Request review or determine if the tiger team review is needed formation and and ready, secure required instruct technical approvals team to prepare (see Note 2) review materials upon decision to proceed Note 1 (see Note 3) Requester may be any or all of the following: -Technical lead Prepare tentative -Members of the technical team agenda, objectives and Responsible project, goals for the program or competency review and work management with appropriate management to Note 2 form the Approval(s) must be panel/team **Review Panel** secured from the (see Notes 2 & 4) appropriate management level. This management level is determined based Distribute review upon the scope and type material to the of activity to be reviewed, panel members as well as who has the upon receipt from authority and responsibility the technical team for the reviewed activity. Confer with the technical team to review feedback and RFAs for understanding, consideration, coordination and acceptance: appoint an RFA coordinator and assign a team member to resolve/respond to accepted RFAs (see Note 6) Review the Review individual individual RFA responses for RFA responses for acceptability and completeness and resolve any issues accuracy upon receipt from the with the technical lead/team technical team (see Note 7) Obtain approvals and oversee implementation of plans resulting from feedback or

**RFAs** (see Note 8)

**END** 

## AD HOC TECHNICAL REVIEW (including Tiger Teams)

LMS-CP-5508

Revision: B-2

#### Objectives:

- -to enhance probability of success of LaRC programs and projects
- -to provide independent assessment of the adequacy and appropriateness of design, development, or research
- -to provide review and consultation by technical experts on analysis and resolution of anomalies, non-conformances, and failures

Original signed on file Approval

Associate Director for Research and Technology Competencies

### **General Information**

The following records are generated by this procedure and should be maintained in accordance with CID 1440.7:

Review Minutes (including a copy of the Notification Letter, review/presentation material, RFAs) RFA responses

Convene the panel and conduct the review gathering additional information from the technical team **Review Secretary** as needed

(see Note 5)

Suggest remedial action and/or provide feedback to the team

Collect and document request for action (RFA) in the meeting minutes, and prepare/revise the Minutes for approval by the chair, distribute the approved minutes to the

panel and

technical

lead/project

manager

# Note 3

Review materials are pertinent to the objectives of the review and are prepared by the technical team for presentation during the review any may include:

- -Design documentation
- -Test procedures and results
- -Analysis, modeling or simulation results
- -Assembly and test histories
- Test articles
- Software source code
- -Photographs

#### Note 4

The responsible manager (see Note 2) works with the technical lead and other organizational unit management and supervisors to appoint a chair person and panel/team.

The review panel is made up of the following: -A chair who is typically from outside the activity's technical team, although this is not a requirement -A secretary who is typically from within the activity's technical team, although this is not a requirement -Panel members who are independent of the activity being reviewed. These members may be a part of the technical team as long as they are not active participants in the reviewed activity.

It is the responsibility of the Review Chair to appoint the Review Secretary, establish a time and place for the review and notify all participants.

#### Note 5

A review may be conducted over several meetings. Actions may be requested of the technical team performing the activity under review for subsequent presentation and consideration.

#### Note 6

RFAs are project- and review-specific and are considered individually. Contact the initiator or writer for clarification.

#### Note 7

The requester may designate the review chair, panel members, technical lead, or other representatives to review the RFAs for acceptability.

#### Note 8

Appropriate approval depends on the extent of the planned action and the product assurance and control requirements of the action under review.